

CBT iL Recommended for Rejection
The City Bridge Trust Committee - 9th July 2015
Summary of Recommendations for Rejection - Investing in Londoners

Ref & Organisation	Purpose	Reason for Recommendation for Rejection	Amount Requested	Grants Officer & Area
Improving London's Environment				
12781 Garden Organic	Aim: to radically improve the environmental education of young Londoners by engaging schools in food growing through working with this project and their local community.	A national organisation, based in Coventry, which wishes to do work in London schools through partner agencies. It has been your practice over the years to prioritise London-based organisations to deliver services unless there was a particular advantage to funding a non-London group. As the proposed project is already within the remit of several local organisations (many of which you are funding) this proposal cannot be considered a priority.	£88,726	CR Outside London
12601 Royal Society for the Protection of Birds	Inspiring Londoners to learn about the green environment, leading to a lasting connection with nature, a desire to conserve the natural environment and improved wellbeing.	The application has not set out a convincing case for how it will deliver work to meet the stated outcomes of your programme, in particular to address the needs of disadvantaged Londoner's.	£94,122	JXM Outside London

Total Improving London's Environment (2 items)

£182,848

Ref & Organisation	Purpose	Reason for Recommendation for Rejection	Amount Requested	Grants Officer & Area
12757 CoolTan Arts	"Finding my voice, staying well and gaining the skills I need to live my life independently through CoolTan Arts' Self Advocacy Programme	A weak application which does not demonstrate knowledge or experience of working specifically with the LGBT Community, while the programme for which funds are requested is not tailored to meeting the needs of this group.	£94,892	JNM Southwark
12768 London Pathway	Working to prevent homelessness among vulnerable 15-17 year-olds with severe and enduring mental illness.	An expensive project for a training video and resource pack which is aimed, principally, at workers in the statutory health sector.	£50,000	JXM Camden
12595 Red Balloon NW London	To pay the salary of the centre coordinator who oversees a recovery programme for children who have suffered severe bullying or a traumatic life experience.	The application is insufficiently focused on the Trust's priorities for its mental health programme. A significant proportion of the funds requested would be used for primary and secondary educational activities which is not permitted by your policy.	£102,217	TW Harrow
12802 Watford Town Centre Chaplaincy	To enable the work to continue and to expand our project	Proposal is for work in Watford and which does not benefit Londoners.	£30,000	CR Outside London

Total Improving Londoners' Mental Health (4 items)

£277,109

Ref & Organisation	Purpose	Reason for Recommendation for Rejection	Amount Requested	Grants Officer & Area
<u>Making London More Inclusive</u>				
12783 Big Splash Trust	£10,000 per year over three years towards the cost of delivering arts workshops for children with severe disabilities and their families.	The organisation does not deliver direct services but, instead raises funds for a local school. It therefore falls outside your eligibility for funding.	£30,000	CR Hammersmith & Fulham
12751 Lewisham Elders Resource Centre	To bid for a grant to enable us to carry out an access audit and an appraisal of plans to improve the accessibility the building	At time of assessment (May 2015) the organisation's returns to the Charity Commission were seriously overdue, whilst there is a long history of late returns, suggesting weak governance.	£3,800	CR Lewisham

Total Making London More Inclusive (2 items)

£33,800

Making London Safer

12771 RA TRUST	With City Bridge Trust grant we will be able to employ Charity Manager who will help us with RA Trust management tasks.	A match to your programme criteria was not evidenced. The request did not show how the post for which a one year grant was sought could be sustained beyond this period.	£34,000	TB Camden
-------------------	---	--	---------	--------------

Total Making London Safer (1 item)

£34,000

Ref & Organisation Older Londoners	Purpose	Reason for Recommendation for Rejection	Amount Requested	Grants Officer & Area
12763 Methodist Homes	'Improving the quality of life for older couples and individuals living independently at home in Ealing through a new local community support active-ageing hub.'	In it's most recent audited accounts, the organisation held £19 m in free cash, reserves against a policy to hold £11m; whilst total free reserves amount to £46m, therefore there is ample scope for this proposal to be self-funded, especially as a significant proportion of the beneficiaries fall outside your criteria.	£73,307	CR Outside London
12747 Tavistock Centre for Couple Relationships	To establish a psychosocial therapeutic programme for older couples living with dementia and a training programme for staff in delivering this intervention in their agencies.	This is an expensive project which is mostly to train staff working in the NHS and other statutory organisations and therefore falls outside your priorities.	£151,174	TB Camden

Total Older Londoners (2 items)

£224,481

Ref & Organisation	Purpose	Reason for Recommendation for Rejection	Amount Requested	Grants Officer & Area
Reducing Poverty				
12772 Came Women And Girls Development Organisation	To tackle poverty and inequality among women and young people around Greenford, Northolt, Perivale, by targeting people who are unemployed for development of employment skills	The request does not provide the approach nor meet the outcome of your reducing poverty programme. In addition its accounts do not comply with standard in reporting requirements. The applicant is a relatively new charitable company, which will be advised to seek assistance from its local council for voluntary service.	£20,000	JXM Ealing
12758 Save the Diabetic Life	Provide travel expenses and training for our volunteers and to acquire office equipment	Weak application from a very small organisation and which fails to address your specific criteria for funding.	£15,525	CR Enfield
12782 Youth Direct	To enable local Jewish children to learn recreational skills which will improve their future employment prospects and hence reduce unemployment and poverty in this community.	Proposal is essentially to support general youth club activities and therefore does not meet your priorities.	£30,000	CR Hackney

Total Reducing Poverty (3 items)

£65,525

Ref & Organisation	Purpose	Reason for Recommendation for Rejection	Amount Requested	Grants Officer & Area
Resettlement and Rehabilitation of Offenders				
12741 Concord Prison Trust	To fund a series of interpersonal communication courses in the community for offenders or those at risk of offending at transition points in their lives.	From the information provided a grant as requested would equate to more than 50% of the organisation's turnover. The application fails to demonstrate the likely impact of this work.	£86,945	JNM Camden
12765 Southbank Mosaics CIC	Supporting young people in trouble with the police and women in prison to leave a positive impact on their environment.	The applicant does not set out a convincing case for funding and in particular little evidence that re-offending rates are reduced through participation in this art-based project.	£76,395	JXM Lambeth

Total Resettlement and Rehabilitation of Offenders (2 items)

£163,340

Ref & Organisation	Purpose	Reason for Recommendation for Rejection	Amount Requested	Grants Officer & Area
Strengthening London's Voluntary Sector				
12790 One World Media	To retain our Education Officer after the expiry of the EU grant under which she was originally employed.	Project is to provide training and workshops to journalism and media students-principally through universities- and therefore falls outside your criteria	£91,456	CR Southwark
12761 Sported Foundation	To continue to support 300 voluntary sports organisations across London through the provision of skilled business mentors, light touch advice/support, and help with measuring impact.	A request to fund a range of support activities, the majority of which fall outside your criteria. In addition the charity has filed late returns with The Charity Commission in four out of the past five years suggesting poor governance.	£150,000	TW Westminster

Total Strengthening London's Voluntary Sector (2 items)

£241,456

Ref & Organisation	Purpose	Reason for Rejection	Amount Requested	Grants Officer & Area
<p><u>Stepping Stones</u></p> <p>12708 Bikeworks CIC</p>	<p>To develop an integrated curriculum that blends functional skills with bike maintenance, this will result in our trainees having a more rounded educational experience.</p>	<p>Whilst the organisation runs a number of different activities, many of which have potential for future social investment, the aspect selected in this application - to back-fill for existing staff to become trained to deliver core-skills employment training - was considered to be very unlikely to attract future social investment. This development would also see them compete with established providers, many of whom are currently facing major funding reductions.</p>	<p>£33,620</p>	<p>CR Tower Hamlets</p>
<p>12709 Brent Citizens Advice Bureau</p>	<p>BAM seeks funding to improve, develop and sustain our ability to deliver the best possible outcomes for vulnerable clients and real value to our community.</p>	<p>The proposal was from Brent CAB on behalf of a consortium of local information and advice providing organisations and was to develop and licence an online advice platform. There were insufficient protocols in place to underpin and safeguard the operation of the consortium (and any investment in it); whilst there was doubt as to the potential of the online platform to attract social investment.</p>	<p>£49,368</p>	<p>CR Brent</p>

<p>12726 Children's Society</p>	<p>New ways to understand needs, monitor trends, and measure the outcomes for young people trafficked and exploited in London</p>	<p>The organisation seeks funding to pilot a new way of engaging with trafficked young men in London and using data analysis to better capture intervention outcomes. The focus is on commissioning for outcomes, but the organisation has not developed a business model yet or shown sufficient understanding of the revenue potential of their project. The panel interview concluded that the proposed work did not meet the objectives of the Stepping Stones fund sufficiently well.</p>	<p>£43,351</p>	<p>EV Islington</p>
<p>12711 City Gateway</p>	<p>To develop impact measurement systems and processes so that we are able to demonstrate sustainable social outcomes for our beneficiaries to social investors.</p>	<p>The organisation seeks funding to begin tracking the long term impact of its work. Although the Panel acknowledged that the ability to track long term outcomes would make the organisation stronger, this tracking was not specifically linked to social investment readiness. The interviewing panel concluded that the organisation had not provided sufficient evidence of a potential purchaser for its outcomes, or sufficient clarity about what it wished to pilot.</p>	<p>£50,000</p>	<p>EV Tower Hamlets</p>

<p>12717 Limehouse Project</p>	<p>To operationalise the Limehouse Project's vision of strengthening its internal financial systems and strategic partnership workings to safeguard the self-sustainability of its future works.</p>	<p>The applicant requests funding to test the viability of a new crèche facility at one of its buildings. Whilst the organisation has identified suitable consultant support for the work, the interviewing panel were concerned that the proposed plans were too ambitious. The organisation already holds a relatively high level of debt and the possibility of it taking on additional repayable finance appears remote.</p>	<p>£35,625</p>	<p>TW Tower Hamlets</p>
<p>12721 Only Connect</p>	<p>To test a new partnership with Unitas delivering Arts Colleges to improve mental health outcomes in South London</p>	<p>The organisation wished to improve the monitoring and evaluation of its work with young people in the criminal justice system or at risk of offending, with regard to their mental health. Whilst the charity has a very good track record with this client group it was felt that the most likely future income for this work would be from contracts and commissions, rather than from social investment.</p>	<p>£35,000</p>	<p>CR Islington</p>
<p>12723 Resurgo Trust</p>	<p>To develop a new model of working with businesses, offering a tailored recruitment service to companies working with entry-level young people.</p>	<p>This application is to work intensively with NEETs to support their route into sustained employment, with a view to employers paying for the service increasingly over subsequent years. It was felt, however, that there had been insufficient testing of the business model with employers and that, by their own admission, the likely income from employers would be much less than that needed to cover the unit costs. As such, the proposal is insufficiently developed to assure the likelihood of future social investment.</p>	<p>£49,861</p>	<p>CR Hammersmith & Fulham</p>

<p>12734 SHARE Community</p>	<p>Clearer, stronger, sustainable student outcomes through implementing the Lifestar monitoring/evaluation tool and providing strong link between Share support and teaching staff and key external contacts.</p>	<p>The application is to help the organisation develop more robust evaluation systems to enable it to be able to better evidence the progress of its students. The organisation was vague about how social investment could benefit it and seemed more focussed on being in a stronger position to win contracts.</p>	<p>£39,599</p>	<p>JF Wandsworth</p>
<p>12725 SkyWay</p>	<p>Employment skills development workspace supporting vulnerable young people to learn skills to 'give back' to the community, provide services and begin their own enterprises</p>	<p>The applicant requests funding to establish a workplace where vulnerable young people can receive training and qualifications in preparation for employment. The interviewing panel concluded that the model presented would remain grant-dependent and that the prospects of taking on social investment for this venture were remote. In addition, the target beneficiary numbers appeared too low to help the charity prove the viability of its model to commissioners.</p>	<p>£50,000</p>	<p>TW Hackney</p>
<p>12706 St Mary's Secret Garden</p>	<p>Improving progressions and outcomes for people with support needs to ensure excellence</p>	<p>A very small organisation applying for funds to embed an off-the-shelf outcomes tool. Whilst this would probably improve the charity's monitoring and evaluation systems it was felt that the organisation did not have the capacity to take on future social investment.</p>	<p>£49,550</p>	<p>CR Hackney</p>

<p>12729 The Sustainable Home Survey Company</p>	<p>SHS seeks to pioneer a holistic Fuel Poverty Delivery Programme for the residents of Barking & Dagenham to reduce their experience of fuel poverty.</p>	<p>An organisation working to identify and help reduce fuel poverty. The organisation has already piloted its approach in Barking & Dagenham and has built relationships with the London Borough of Newham, but the interviewing panel had concerns that there was no clear commitment to take on social investment in the future and that the proposed work was therefore insufficiently aligned with the aims of the Stepping Stones programme.</p>	<p>£45,000</p>	<p>TW Southwark</p>
<p>12697 Action Acton</p>	<p>To rebrand as Action West London, scale up and win more contracts by strengthening financial forecasting, risk management, performance, project management, marketing and social impact measurement.</p>	<p>The application is to help the organisation with capacity building to help it re-brand and grow with a view to being in a better position to bid for contracts. The organisation was vague as to how social investment might benefit it.</p>	<p>£40,000</p>	<p>JF Ealing</p>
<p>12703 Lancaster West Children's Community Network</p>	<p>Funding to employ a Co-ordinator/Development Manager to take forward the organisation's current work and its intention to develop and maintain sustainable children's services.</p>	<p>An organisation in some financial difficulty, the focus of the application is on the recruitment of a new Development Manager. The interviewing panel concluded that the expectations for this new post were unrealistically high, and that the applicant organisation was some way from being social investment ready.</p>	<p>£48,520</p>	<p>TW Kensington & Chelsea</p>

Total Stepping Stones (13 items)

£569,494

Grand Totals (31 items)

£1,792,053